

Economic Strategy & Intelligence

Piers Elias

August, 2014 (*Appendix Maps corrected 15/9/14*)

UNCLASSIFIED

Analysis of Moves within and between the Tees Valley and the rest of the UK. ONS Results for Year to Mid 2012 and Mid 2013

Introduction

ONS now release detailed age and sex information on moves within the UK between Local Authorities in England and Wales and between Scotland and Northern Ireland. These moves make up the internal (within UK) migration flows used in ONS' Mid Year Population Estimates (MYEs) and uses data from the NHS on GP Patient moves in combination with data from the Higher Education Statistics Agency (HESA) on Students' term-time address.

This is the second year ONS have released this level of detail and this report looks at where the main moves are coming from and going to. It also looks briefly at the age profiles of these migrants and at the balance between the sexes.

ONS do not publish figures for moves *within* each Local Authority as part of their MYE information so we can only look at moves *between* each of the five Tees Valley Authorities, and to the rest of the UK. However, moves within Local Authorities have just been released by ONS from the 2011 Census and a separate report will follow on those results.

In brief

- *Net migration from the Tees Valley to elsewhere in the UK improved in the year to Mid 2013 by just over 500 (-1,430 vs -1,940). This was a combination of higher inflows to the Tees Valley (+200) and lower outflows (+300).*
- *85% of moves (to another Local Authority) in the Tees Valley are between Middlesbrough, Redcar & Cleveland and Stockton-on-Tees.*
- *Population continues to move westwards, particularly from Middlesbrough to Stockton-on-Tees.*
- *Two thirds of all moves (15,400 of 23,700) are moves to outside the Tees Valley (note that this excludes moves within the same Local Authority).*
- *County Durham is by far the largest destination and origin of migrants to and from the Tees Valley. London and Newcastle upon Tyne rank second and third respectively.*
- *Males and females have similar patterns of migration, though females are slightly more mobile.*
- *Tees Valley's main net losses by age group are school aged children 5-14, 18-19 as young adults go off to College and University (partially off-set by Teesside University) and young adults in the 25-34 age group.*

Migration Within the Tees Valley

Table 1 shows the moves between Local Authorities in the Tees Valley; it **excludes** moves within the same Local Authority.

Table 1 – Moves between Tees Valley Local Authorities in the year to Mid 2012.

		IN (Down)	IN (Down)	IN (Down)	IN (Down)	IN (Down)		
	LAName	Darlington	Hartlepool	Middlesbrough	Redcar and Cleveland	Stockton-on-Tees	Moves OUT - TV LAs	Net Flows
OUT --->	Darlington		57	123	57	292	529	70
OUT --->	Hartlepool	33		117	54	235	439	132
OUT --->	Middlesbrough	151	106		1315	1331	2902	-438
OUT --->	Redcar and Cleveland	81	69	1222		512	1884	-54
OUT --->	Stockton-on-Tees	333	338	1004	404		2079	290
	Moves IN - TV LAs	599	571	2465	1830	2369	7833	0

Source : GP Patient Moves and HESA data, ONS to Mid 2012.

- The main moves in the Tees Valley are between Middlesbrough, Redcar & Cleveland and Stockton-on-Tees.
- Moves between Darlington and Hartlepool and the rest of the Tees Valley are relatively small.
- The largest net IN-flows are to Stockton which netted 325 from Middlesbrough and 100 from Redcar & Cleveland but lost 100 to Hartlepool.
- Middlesbrough had a net Out-flow of 325 to Stockton-on-Tees and 100 to Redcar & Cleveland.
- Redcar & Cleveland had a net IN-flow from Middlesbrough (100) but a net Out-flow to Stockton-on-Tees (110)
- Main flows for Darlington are with Stockton-on-Tees. Darlington had a small net IN-flow from Stockton-on-Tees of 40 in 2012.
- Hartlepool's main flows are also with Stockton-on-Tees. Net migration was towards Hartlepool (+100).

Table 2 – Moves between Tees Valley Local Authorities in the year to Mid 2013.

		IN (Down)	IN (Down)	IN (Down)	IN (Down)	IN (Down)		
	LAName	Darlington	Hartlepool	Middlesbrough	Redcar and Cleveland	Stockton-on-Tees	Moves OUT - TV LAs	Net Flows
OUT --->	Darlington		56	146	77	241	520	68
OUT --->	Hartlepool	41		92	49	305	487	164
OUT --->	Middlesbrough	120	126		1,335	1,514	3,095	-532
OUT --->	Redcar and Cleveland	90	71	1,285		531	1,977	-107
OUT --->	Stockton-on-Tees	336	397	1,041	409		2,184	408
	Moves IN - TV LAs	588	651	2,563	1,870	2,591	8,263	-0

Source : GP Patient Moves and HESA data, ONS to Mid 2013.

Key Points :

- Population continues to move westwards, particularly from Middlesbrough to Stockton-on-Tees.
- Around one third of all moves to another Local Authority in the UK (8,300 of 23,700) are moves within the Tees Valley.
- Patterns are similar for 2012 and 2013 with small gains for Darlington and Hartlepool, larger gains for Stockton-on-Tees and losses from Middlesbrough and Redcar & Cleveland.
- Total moves were higher in the year to Mid 2013 (8,260 vs 7,830) perhaps reflecting an improvement in the labour and housing markets.

- Stockton-on-Tees was again the biggest net gainer, with a net IN-flow of 470 from Middlesbrough and 130 from R&C, but net outflows of 100 to Darlington and 90 to Hartlepool.
- Middlesbrough saw the largest net outflows, mainly to Stockton-on-Tees (470) but also to Redcar & Cleveland (50).
- Redcar & Cleveland had a net IN-flow of 50 from Middlesbrough but a net Out-flow to Stockton-on-Tees of 120.
- Main flows for Darlington are with Stockton-on-Tees with Darlington showing a net IN-flow of just under 100 in 2013.
- Hartlepool's main flows are also with Stockton-on-Tees. Net migration was towards Hartlepool (+90).

Migration to and from elsewhere in the UK

Table 3 – Moves INTO the Tees Valley from elsewhere in the UK - Mid 2012 & 2013

2013	2013	2013	2012	2012	2012
LA Name	Total	Rank 2013	LA Name	Total	Rank 2013
County Durham	2,210	1	County Durham	2,057	1
London	896	2	London	868	2
Newcastle upon Tyne	880	3	Newcastle upon Tyne	831	3
Hambleton	670	4	Hambleton	671	4
Scotland	529	5	Leeds	523	6
Leeds	520	6	Scotland	502	5
Sunderland	426	7	Sunderland	456	7
Richmondshire	420	8	Richmondshire	359	8
Scarborough	279	9	Scarborough	317	9
York	260	10	Wales	268	11
Wales	246	11	York	263	10
Northumberland	205	12	Gateshead	240	14
Manchester	199	13	Northumberland	217	12
Gateshead	188	14	Sheffield	190	15
Sheffield	178	15	Manchester	168	13
Bradford	164	16	Bradford	159	16
East Riding of Yorkshire	157	17	North Tyneside	140	20
Kirklees	137	18	East Riding of Yorkshire	136	17
Harrogate	134	19	Kirklees	125	18
North Tyneside	117	20	Birmingham	125	26
All Moves INTO TV	13,990			13,769	

Source : GP Patient Moves and HESA data, ONS Mid 2012 and Mid 2013. Excludes moves within Tees Valley

Note : From 2011 the Local Authority Districts of Northumberland and County Durham are shown as a single Unitary County so comparisons at individual district level are no longer possible. London, Wales and Scotland are the combined totals for all the Local Authorities in those areas with London being the combined total of both Inner and Outer London Authorities. This applies to all subsequent tables in this report.

- Both the source Local Authorities and the volume of inflows are very similar for the Top 20 areas coming to the Tees Valley over the last couple of years.
- County Durham is by far the largest source for inflows with London and Newcastle upon Tyne ranked second and third respectively.

Table 4 – Moves OUT from the Tees Valley to elsewhere in the UK - Mid 2012 & 2013

2013	2013	2013	2012	2012	2012
LA Name	Total	Rank 2013	LA Name	Total	Rank 2013
County Durham	2,258	1	County Durham	2,032	1
London	1,108	2	London	1,230	2
Newcastle upon Tyne	1,087	3	Newcastle upon Tyne	1,212	3
Scotland	707	4	Scotland	707	4
Hambleton	701	5	Hambleton	691	5
Leeds	601	6	Leeds	683	6
Sunderland	486	7	Sunderland	399	7
York	396	8	York	353	8
Scarborough	310	9	Richmondshire	326	12
Wales	293	10	Scarborough	308	9
Manchester	290	11	Manchester	293	11
Richmondshire	255	12	Wales	236	10
Gateshead	231	13	Gateshead	233	13
Northumberland	209	14	Sheffield	216	15
Sheffield	191	15	Birmingham	183	17
North Tyneside	169	16	Northumberland	163	14
Birmingham	157	17	East Riding of Yorkshire	157	19
Bradford	153	18	North Tyneside	156	16
East Riding of Yorkshire	140	19	Harrogate	140	21
Kirklees	124	20	Bradford	136	18
All Moves OUT of TV	15,419			15,710	

Source : GP Patient Moves and HESA data, ONS Mid 2012 and Mid 2013. Excludes moves within Tees Valley

Key Point :

- Two thirds of all moves to another Local Authority in the UK were to outside the Tees Valley (15,400 of 23,700) in the year to Mid 2013.
- Again, the largest flow, this time outwards, is into County Durham, with London and Newcastle-upon-Tyne the next two most prominent destinations.
- Both the destination Local Authorities and the volume of outflows are very similar for the Top 20 areas for those leaving the Tees Valley over the last couple of years. County Durham is by far the largest destination for outflows with London and Newcastle upon Tyne ranked strongly second and third respectively.
- Approximately 2.3% of the Tees Valley population moved to a Local Authority outside the Tees Valley in 2013.

Table 5 – Top 10 NET Moves INTO the Tees Valley - Mid 2012 & 2013

2013	2013	2013	2012	2012	2012
LA Name	Total	Rank 2013	LA Name	Total	Rank 2013
Richmondshire	165	1	Sunderland	58	337
Doncaster	49	2	Northumberland	54	201
Medway	31	3	Richmondshire	33	1
Kingston upon Hull, City of	26	4	County Durham	24	335
Rochdale	26	5	Kingston upon Hull, City of	24	4
Hastings	23	6	Plymouth	23	99
Tendring	23	7	Sandwell	23	323
Wakefield	22	8	Bradford	23	30
East Riding of Yorkshire	17	9	North East Lincolnshire	22	59
Telford and Wrekin	17	10	Bury	22	144
Net Moves - TV	-1,429			-1,941	

Source : GP Patient Moves and HESA data, ONS Mid 2012 and Mid 2013. Excludes moves within Tees Valley

Net moves are more variable as they depend on both inflows and outflows.

- Net inflows are generally quite small.
- Origins of migrants with net IN-flows to the Tees Valley are widespread and not consistent from one year to the next. Indeed, Richmondshire and Hull are the only two Local Authorities to appear in both top 10 lists.
- There are fewer northern university cities appearing here suggesting that those who leave the Tees Valley as students, are not returning.

Table 6 – Top 10 NET Moves OUT of the Tees Valley - Mid 2012 & 2013

2013	2013	2013	2012	2012	2012
LA Name	Total	Rank 2013	LA Name	Total	Rank 2013
London	-212	1	Newcastle upon Tyne	-381	2
Newcastle upon Tyne	-208	2	LONDON	-361	1
Scotland	-178	3	Scotland	-205	3
York	-135	4	Leeds	-159	6
Manchester	-91	5	Manchester	-125	5
Leeds	-80	6	York	-90	4
Birmingham	-68	7	Coventry	-68	330
Sunderland	-60	8	Birmingham	-58	7
North Tyneside	-52	9	Bristol, City of	-45	314
County Durham	-48	10	Nottingham	-43	16
Net Moves - TV	-1,429			-1,941	

Source : GP Patient Moves and HESA data, ONS Mid 2012 and Mid 2013. Excludes moves within Tees Valley

Net outflows are larger than net inflows, which reflect the overall net position of a flow Out of the Tees Valley.

- It is noticeable that the net flows out of the Tees Valley are lower in 2013 reflecting both the improvement in IN-flows, which were higher, and a reduction in outflows which resulted in net flows improving by 470, though still remaining outwards.
- There is much more consistency in the destinations of migrants with net outflows.

- The destinations of those leaving the Tees Valley are to the larger cities but with more of a northern theme compared to those moving INTO the Tees Valley.

Table 7 - Net Moves by Age Group – Mid 2012

Age_GP	Darlington	Hartlepool	Middlesbrough	Redcar & Cleveland	Stockton-on-Tees	Tees Valley
00-04	-23	32	-52	-16	49	-10
05-09	-61	32	-117	16	-14	-144
10-14	-47	-5	-132	5	-34	-213
15-19	-351	-168	420	-372	-208	-680
20-24	153	37	-90	-85	33	48
25-29	-19	-6	-314	-49	13	-375
30-34	3	-5	-174	-13	-12	-202
35-39	-24	11	-60	30	-3	-46
40-44	-2	21	-43	32	-45	-37
45-49	-31	-34	-31	-30	-11	-137
50-54	-6	8	-18	24	-60	-52
55-59	25	3	-8	-5	-31	-16
60-64	-12	-1	-20	20	-24	-36
65-69	-2	12	-21	19	-39	-30
70-74	11	5	5	-4	5	22
75+	8	0	-19	-36	14	-32
Grand Total	-379	-59	-673	-464	-366	-1,941

Source : GP Patient Moves and HESA data, ONS Mid 2012 and Mid 2013. Includes moves within Tees Valley

Key Point :

- Tees Valley's main net loses are amongst school aged children (5-14), post school ages (15-19) - as young adults go off to College and University and then in the 25-34 age group.
- Tees Valley's main net loses are amongst school aged children (5-14), post school ages (15-19) - as young adults go off to College and University and then in the 25-34 age group.
- Largest net outflows are in the school leaving age group (15-19) though Middlesbrough, with Teesside University, offsets some of that.
- 19 year olds account for 70% of the net outflow for the 15-19 age group.
- Only two age groups saw net gains, both small in nature – the 20-24 age group as some people return from their studies or training and the 70-74 age group.
- Net migration above the age of 35 is relatively small, with only the 45-49 age group seeing losses of over 100, and only the 70-74 age group seeing a net gain.

Table 8 - Net Moves by Age Group – Mid 2013

Age_GP	Darlington	Hartlepool	Middlesbrough	Redcar & Cleveland	Stockton-on-Tees	Tees Valley
00-04	-11	38	-71	4	74	34
05-09	11	5	-104	32	45	-11
10-14	13	14	-66	-4	7	-36
15-19	-283	-150	384	-209	-180	-438
20-24	119	50	-294	90	-78	-113
25-29	41	12	-322	-50	-3	-322
30-34	-28	17	-169	-37	25	-192
35-39	53	15	-88	-31	21	-30
40-44	31	27	-105	-39	6	-80
45-49	35	17	-93	13	-43	-71
50-54	-15	0	-50	-7	-36	-108
55-59	-17	13	-45	43	-4	-10
60-64	10	20	-29	27	-14	14
65-69	16	4	-35	28	-27	-14
70-74	-1	-4	-14	-6	16	-9
75+	0	14	23	-74	-6	-43
Grand Total	-26	92	-1,078	-220	-197	-1,429

Source : GP Patient Moves and HESA data, ONS Mid 2012 and Mid 2013. Includes moves within Tees Valley.

Key Point :

Overall net migration was lower in the year to Mid 2013 though a very similar pattern emerges across the age groups

- 0-4s : Hartlepool and Stockton-on-Tees saw net gains in the pre-school age group while Middlesbrough shows continuing net outflow for this age group.
- Net losses in the 5-14 age group were much less marked.
- 15-19s : again net losses were less marked with the bulk of the losses in the 18 to 19 year age group.
- Net change above the age of 35 is relatively small, with only the 50-54 age group seeing losses of over 100.
- Middlesbrough was the only Tees Valley Borough to see a worsening in Net Out migration compared to 2012. This worsening was seen across all age groups between 20 and 74.

Table 9 - Moves by Sex – Mid 2012

Moves by Sex	Darlington	Hartlepool	Middlesbrough	Redcar & Cleveland	Stockton-on-Tees	Tees Valley
Females IN	1,857	1,029	3,009	1,942	3,215	11,053
Females OUT	2,014	1,048	3,410	2,188	3,445	12,105
Females NET	-157	-18	-401	-246	-230	-1,052
Males IN	1,707	1,028	2,997	1,770	3,046	10,549
Males OUT	1,929	1,069	3,269	1,988	3,182	11,438
Males NET	-222	-41	-272	-218	-136	-889
All IN	3,565	2,058	6,006	3,712	6,261	21,602
All OUT	3,943	2,117	6,679	4,177	6,628	23,543
All NET	-379	-59	-673	-464	-366	-1,941

Source : GP Patient Moves and HESA data, ONS Mid 2012 and Mid 2013. Includes moves within Tees Valley.

- Flows of females, both in and more so for out, are higher than for males
- Net flows are worse for females.
- All net flows for both male and female, and across the Tees Valley, are Outwards.

Table 10 - Moves by Sex – Mid 2013

Sex	Darlington	Hartlepool	Middlesbrough	Redcar & Cleveland	Stockton-on-Tees	Tees Valley
Females IN	1,948	1,118	3,001	2,101	3,320	11,488
Females OUT	1,837	1,171	3,593	2,120	3,335	12,056
Females NET	111	-53	-592	-19	-15	-568
Males IN	1,679	1,194	3,015	1,850	3,027	10,765
Males OUT	1,816	1,049	3,501	2,051	3,209	11,626
Males NET	-137	145	-486	-201	-182	-861
All IN	3,627	2,312	6,016	3,951	6,347	22,253
All OUT	3,653	2,220	7,094	4,171	6,544	23,682
All NET	-26	92	-1,078	-220	-197	-1,429

Source : GP Patient Moves and HESA data, ONS Mid 2012 and Mid 2013. Includes moves within Tees Valley.

Key Point :

- *Males and females have similar patterns of migration, though females are slightly more mobile.*
- Flows of females, both in and out, are higher than for males.
- Net flows (OUT) are almost 500 lower for females compared to last year.
- Only Darlington females and Hartlepool males saw net IN-flows.

Data Availability

The data can be analysed by Single Year of Age, Sex and by Local Authority. This report is designed to pull out the key elements of migration but there are too many combinations to look at all aspects of migration within a single report. TVU can provide the detailed ONS information for anyone wanting to carry out their own analyses or you can download the data directly from the ONS web-site but due to the size of the file, it has to be downloaded into Access. [LINK to ONS Data.](#)

Appendices

Appendix 1 shows the net flows for each Local Authority for moves within and outside the Tees Valley for both 2012 and 2013. Appendices 2 to 6 below show the top 20 moves **into** each of the five Tees Valley Local Authorities along with their corresponding moves out and net flows.

Piers Elias
 Demographic and Modelling Officer
 Tees Valley Unlimited
 13th August, 2014
piers.elias@teesvalleyunlimited.gov.uk

Appendix 1

Chart 1 : Net Migration within Tees Valley and within UK – Mid 2012 (Corrected September 2014)





Chart 2 : Net Migration within Tees Valley and within UK – Mid 2013 (Corrected September 2014)



Appendix 2

Table D1 : Main moves to and from Darlington – Mid 2013

Mid 2013	DARLINGTON	INTO	OUT OF	NET
Rank	Local Authority Moves	3,627	3,653	-26
1	County Durham	869	847	22
2	Stockton-on-Tees	336	241	96
3	Richmondshire	307	151	156
4	Newcastle upon Tyne	151	159	-8
5	Hambleton	129	74	55
6	Middlesbrough	120	146	-26
7	Scotland	112	162	-50
8	London	107	138	-31
9	Leeds	95	91	4
10	Redcar and Cleveland	90	77	13
11	York	63	66	-3
12	Sunderland	55	61	-6
13	Gateshead	47	50	-3
14	Hartlepool	41	56	-15
15	Sheffield	38	39	-1
16	Wales	38	34	4
17	Northumberland	37	45	-7
18	East Riding of Yorkshire	29	27	1
19	Harrogate	26	28	-1
20	South Tyneside	26	26	0

Table D2 : Main moves to and from Darlington – Mid 2012

Mid 2012	DARLINGTON	INTO	OUT OF	NET
Rank	Local Authority Moves	3,565	3,943	-379
1	County Durham	795	869	-74
2	Stockton-on-Tees	333	292	41
3	Richmondshire	287	224	64
4	Middlesbrough	151	123	28
5	Newcastle upon Tyne	150	207	-57
6	Hambleton	125	99	26
7	London	114	168	-54
8	Scotland	101	160	-59
9	Leeds	92	127	-34
10	Redcar and Cleveland	81	57	25
11	Sunderland	56	52	4
12	Gateshead	53	63	-10
13	York	41	61	-20
14	Northumberland	36	46	-10
15	Hartlepool	33	57	-24
16	Harrogate	33	41	-8
17	North Tyneside	32	32	0
18	Wales	30	36	-6
19	Sheffield	28	42	-13
20	Birmingham	27	24	3

Note : London, Wales and Scotland are the combined totals for all the Local Authorities in those areas. County Durham and Northumberland are now Unitary Counties and so only appear as a single Local Authority area.

Appendix 3

Table H1 : Main moves to and from Hartlepool – Mid 2013

Mid 2013	HARTLEPOOL	INTO	OUT OF	NET
Rank	Local Authority Moves	2,312	2,220	92
1	County Durham	488	403	85
2	Stockton-on-Tees	397	305	92
3	Newcastle upon Tyne	139	181	-42
4	Middlesbrough	126	92	35
5	Sunderland	101	97	4
6	London	80	91	-11
7	Redcar and Cleveland	71	49	22
8	Scotland	64	77	-13
9	Darlington	56	41	15
10	Leeds	39	52	-13
11	Gateshead	33	23	10
12	Wales	31	45	-14
13	Northumberland	24	23	1
14	Manchester	24	23	1
15	York	23	36	-13
16	East Riding of Yorkshire	22	12	9
17	North Tyneside	18	24	-7
18	Bristol, City of	17	1	16
19	Bradford	14	6	8
20	Hambleton	13	26	-13

Table H2 : Main moves to and from Hartlepool – Mid 2012

Mid 2012	HARTLEPOOL	INTO	OUT OF	NET
Rank	Local Authority Moves	2,117	2,058	59
1	County Durham	356	413	-57
2	Stockton-on-Tees	235	338	-104
3	Newcastle upon Tyne	149	156	-7
4	Middlesbrough	117	106	10
5	Sunderland	96	89	7
6	Leeds	73	33	40
7	Scotland	66	53	12
8	Redcar and Cleveland	54	69	-14
9	London	52	52	0
10	York	36	26	10
11	Darlington	33	57	-24
12	Manchester	27	10	18
13	Sheffield	27	10	17
14	Gateshead	26	36	-10
15	North Tyneside	21	17	4
16	Bristol, City of	18	4	15
17	Northern Ireland	18	8	10
18	Wales	16	16	0
19	East Riding of Yorkshire	15	13	2
20	Nottingham	15	15	0

Note : London, Wales and Scotland are the combined totals for all the Local Authorities in those areas. County Durham and Northumberland are now Unitary Counties and so only appear as a single Local Authority area.

Appendix 4

Table M1 : Main moves to and from Middlesbrough – Mid 2013

Mid 2013	MIDDLESBROUGH	INTO	OUT OF	NET
Rank	Local Authority Moves	6,016	7,094	-1,078
1	Redcar and Cleveland	1,285	1,335	-50
2	Stockton-on-Tees	1,041	1,514	-474
3	London	317	409	-92
4	County Durham	286	288	-2
5	Newcastle upon Tyne	181	241	-60
6	Hambleton	167	212	-45
7	Leeds	147	198	-50
8	Darlington	146	120	26
9	Sunderland	109	142	-33
10	Hartlepool	92	126	-35
11	Scotland	91	162	-71
12	Scarborough	83	73	10
13	Bradford	72	66	6
14	Northumberland	69	62	6
15	Sheffield	66	52	14
16	Manchester	65	93	-29
17	York	64	105	-41
18	Coventry	63	26	37
19	Wales	62	94	-32
20	Kirklees	44	34	10

Table M2 : Main moves to and from Middlesbrough – Mid 2012

Mid 2012	MIDDLESBROUGH	INTO	OUT OF	NET
Rank	Local Authority Moves	6,006	6,679	-673
1	Redcar and Cleveland	1,222	1,315	-93
2	Stockton-on-Tees	1,004	1,331	-327
3	County Durham	301	234	68
4	London	270	444	-174
5	Hambleton	193	204	-11
6	Leeds	168	176	-8
7	Newcastle upon Tyne	163	248	-84
8	Scotland	128	136	-8
9	Sunderland	126	89	38
10	Darlington	123	151	-28
11	Hartlepool	117	106	10
12	Northumberland	97	41	56
13	Scarborough	97	57	40
14	Wales	81	50	31
15	Manchester	63	96	-33
16	York	60	66	-6
17	Bradford	56	71	-15
18	Sheffield	55	53	1
19	South Tyneside	46	41	5
20	Gateshead	45	48	-3

Note : London, Wales and Scotland are the combined totals for all the Local Authorities in those areas. County Durham and Northumberland are now Unitary Counties and so only appear as a single Local Authority area.

Appendix 5

Table R1 : Main moves to and from Redcar & Cleveland – Mid 2013

Mid 2013	Redcar & Cleveland	INTO	OUT OF	NET
Rank	Local Authority Moves	3,951	4,171	-220
1	Middlesbrough	1,335	1,285	50
2	Stockton-on-Tees	409	531	-122
3	County Durham	155	133	22
4	Hambleton	141	178	-37
5	Scarborough	141	152	-11
6	Newcastle upon Tyne	112	118	-7
7	Scotland	98	98	0
8	London	86	105	-19
9	Darlington	77	90	-13
10	Leeds	73	80	-7
11	York	49	82	-33
12	Hartlepool	49	71	-22
13	Sunderland	45	65	-19
14	Wales	44	55	-11
15	Ryedale	42	51	-9
16	Doncaster	41	4	38
17	Northumberland	40	39	1
18	Bradford	28	22	6
19	Harrogate	28	16	12
20	Kirklees	24	27	-2

Table R2 : Main moves to and from Redcar & Cleveland – Mid 2012

Mid 2012	Redcar & Cleveland	INTO	OUT OF	NET
Rank	Local Authority Moves	3,712	4,177	-464
1	Middlesbrough	1,315	1,222	93
2	Stockton-on-Tees	404	512	-108
3	Hambleton	133	120	13
4	Scarborough	133	158	-25
5	London	120	118	2
6	County Durham	93	120	-27
7	Leeds	85	117	-32
8	Newcastle upon Tyne	83	163	-79
9	Scotland	76	124	-48
10	York	67	86	-19
11	Darlington	57	81	-25
12	Hartlepool	54	69	-14
13	Wales	53	63	-10
14	Sunderland	51	64	-13
15	Sheffield	31	26	5
16	Kirklees	30	26	4
17	Northumberland	27	18	9
18	East Riding of Yorkshire	27	39	-12
19	Manchester	25	36	-11
20	Bradford	24	8	17

Note : London, Wales and Scotland are the combined totals for all the Local Authorities in those areas. County Durham and Northumberland are now Unitary Counties and so only appear as a single Local Authority area.

Appendix 6

Table S1 : Main moves to and from Stockton-on-Tees – Mid 2013

Mid 2013	Stockton-on-Tees	INTO	OUT OF	NET
Rank	Local Authority Moves	6,347	6,544	-197
1	Middlesbrough	1,514	1,041	474
2	Redcar and Cleveland	531	409	122
3	County Durham	412	587	-175
4	London	306	365	-59
5	Hartlepool	305	397	-92
6	Newcastle upon Tyne	297	388	-91
7	Darlington	241	336	-96
8	Hambleton	220	210	10
9	Leeds	166	180	-14
10	Scotland	163	207	-44
11	Sunderland	116	122	-6
12	Wales	71	64	7
13	Manchester	69	84	-15
14	York	62	107	-45
15	Gateshead	51	62	-10
16	Richmondshire	50	40	10
17	Kirklees	46	35	11
18	Sheffield	43	64	-22
19	Harrogate	42	50	-8
20	East Riding of Yorkshire	41	46	-5

Table S2 : Main moves to and from Stockton-on-Tees – Mid 2012

Mid 2012	Stockton-on-Tees	INTO	OUT OF	NET
Rank	Local Authority Moves	6,261	6,628	-367
1	Middlesbrough	1,331	1,004	327
2	Redcar and Cleveland	512	404	108
3	County Durham	455	454	1
4	London	312	412	-100
5	Darlington	292	333	-41
6	Newcastle upon Tyne	279	445	-167
7	Hartlepool	235	338	-104
8	Hambleton	200	259	-60
9	Leeds	145	191	-45
10	Scotland	144	221	-77
11	Sunderland	134	98	36
12	Gateshead	84	74	10
13	York	69	104	-35
14	Sheffield	66	68	-2
15	Wales	56	103	-47
16	Scarborough	49	70	-21
17	North Tyneside	45	58	-14
18	Manchester	45	94	-49
19	East Riding of Yorkshire	44	55	-11
20	Bradford	44	42	1

Note : London, Wales and Scotland are the combined totals for all the Local Authorities in those areas. County Durham and Northumberland are now Unitary Counties and so only appear as a single Local Authority area.